

ILIO TC Meeting Notes

Meeting Information

Meeting Date:	August 15, 2018		
Meeting Time:	12-1pm		
Meeting Location:	Coupeville Campus L&J Bldg, Room 131 Coupeville, WA 98239		
Meeting Attendees	Lori Clark	Matt Colston	Barbara Bennett
		Jill Wood	Amy Trainer
		Jenny Schofield	
	Keith Higman	Stan Walsh	
	Dawn Pucci	Dennis Lefevre	
	Matt Zupich		
Meeting Objectives:	ILIO TC will discuss rubric for FY18 local NEP funding allocation for recommendation to ILIO Executive Committee consideration on August 22 nd .		
		Total Time:	60 minutes

Minutes

Topic:	Updates	Action Item Owner:	Lori
Decisions Made and Action Item(s):	none		
Follow-up Items:	•		
Notes:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The 11 local 2018-2022 NTAs were all recommended for adoption into the 2018-2022 Action Agenda. • John Lovie shared an update on the PFOA and PFOS: • PSP hired a new ERC who will be serving as the ILIO local liaison. Laura Ferguson will begin on September 17th. • Ron Wesen, Whidbey Basin representative Ecosystem Recovery Council (ERC), will attend the joint SRTCC/ILIO TC meeting on October 17th. We hope to strengthen our relationship with Ron and be better connected to the ERC decisions. • The SOW for the ILIO Coordinator is available to any ILIO member for review/feedback. The new SOW begins October 1st. • Lori will be serving on the Action Agenda Coordination Board. This group provides and steers interagency coordination, procedural oversight, and operational policy and process guidance for the development and implementation of the Action Agenda. We will begin working on the 2022 Action Agenda update in January 2019. Recently the LIO representation was expanded from two seats to three seats (there are 9 LIOs) to ensure the group is inclusive and collaborative and has a broad perspective on Action Agenda implementation. Composition of the AACG includes: PSP, EPA, Tribal Management Conference, Stormwater SIL, Habitat SIL, Shellfish SIL, Puget Sound Institute, Sno-Still LIO, Hood Canal Watershed Council LIO and Island LIO. 		
Topic:	Discuss rubric for recommendation for FY2018	Action Item Owner:	Lori

EPA NEP local funding allocation											
Decisions Made and Action Item(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The ILIO TC recommends the ILIO EC approve the following criteria for the FY2018 NEP local funding allocation. 										
Follow-up Items:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Present the recommendation to the ILIO EC on April 25th to approve the 11 local and 10 regional NTAs as presented by the TC for the 2018 Action Agenda update. Lori will work with PSP to revise the City of Oak Harbor's NTA 2018-0092 from CHIN 7.1 regional priority to LCD3.3. 										
Notes:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The ILIO TC discussed modifying the rubric used for the 2018-2022 NTA scoring process for making a recommendation for allocating the ILIO 2018 NEP funding (\$100,000 per year). Three options were discussed: 1. The existing scores could be reweighted putting an emphasis on the contribution to recovery, 2. Use the criteria established for FY2017 (with slight modifications), or 3. Create new rubric. The ILIO Technical Committee recommends that the following scoring be applied to the 2018-2022 Near-term Actions (NTA) for ranking and to select the local NTA to apply the FY2018 NEP local funding allocation (\$100,000): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Reweight the approved 2018-2022 NTA scoring rubric to put emphasis on contribution to recovery: <table border="1" data-bbox="641 829 1226 1060"> <thead> <tr> <th>Criterion</th> <th>Weight</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Alignment</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Likelihood of success: human</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Likelihood of success: technical</td> <td>1.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Contributions to Recovery</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Add a score utilizing the following 3 criterion from the 2017 NTA Selection Criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will the current \$100,000 NEP funding allocation catalyze the project to completion? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3 points – Project will be completed (final phase) with 2018 NEP funding 2 points – Project will be moved forward significantly with 2018 NEP funding. 1 point – NEP will only fund a preliminary phase of the full project. Is the project timely? Will it support other actions currently underway? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3 points – Project must be implemented within the next year. 2 points – Project is critical but not under near-term constraints. 1 point – Project is not time bounded. Does this NTA support planning or action? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5 points – Action 3 points – Planning The ILIO Technical Committee will utilize the total scoring to rank the 2018-2022 NTAs. The ranking will be used to inform the discussion for the selection of the 2018-2022 local NTA to submit to PSP for FY2018 NEP local funding. 	Criterion	Weight	Alignment	1	Likelihood of success: human	1	Likelihood of success: technical	1.5	Contributions to Recovery	2
Criterion	Weight										
Alignment	1										
Likelihood of success: human	1										
Likelihood of success: technical	1.5										
Contributions to Recovery	2										