

ILIO TC Meeting Notes

Meeting Information

Meeting Date:	March 1, 2016		
Meeting Time:	1:00pm - 3:30pm		
Meeting Location:	Law & Justice Bldg, Room 131, Coupeville		
Meeting Attendees	Lori Clark	Anna Toledo	
	Suzanna Stoike	Rob Holbauer	
	Brian Wood	Jill Wood	
	Dawn Pucci	Stan Walsh	
	Todd Zacky (webex)	John Levee	
	Jim Sunberg		
Meeting Objectives:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review/revise/ develop: ILIO vision/mission statement, 5-year Plan Development: vision statement, ecosystem components & vital signs, human quality of live vital signs. 		
	Total Time:	90 minutes	

Minutes

Topic:	PSP Updates	Action Item Owner:	Suzanna
Decisions Made and Action Item(s):	None		
Follow-up Items:	None		
Notes:	Suzanna: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a new version of guidance for the recovery plan including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1st elements/2yr plan New name for the long range plan: LIO Ecosystem Recovery Plan (5 or 6 year) Funding Strategy Section will be optional for the LIO ERP SR coordination is improved Adaptive Management Strategy Ranked NTA list will be put out March 11th 		
Topic:	ILIO Vision/ Mission Statement	Action Item Owner:	Lori Clark
Decisions Made and Action Item(s):	Revise vision/mission based on LIO TC feedback and present at LIO Workshop.		
Follow-up Items:	Lori will draft a revised ILIO mission and vision statement and email it to the ILIO TC for review.		
Notes:	Discussion focused on making the ILIO vision & mission more specific and to employ more active statements.		
Topic:	5-year Plan Vision Statement	Action Item Owner:	Lori Clark
Decisions Made and			

Action Item(s):			
Follow-up Items:	<p>Determine if bigger picture document is feasible</p> <p>Draft a revised mission & vision statement for the 5-year plan and email to the ILIO TC for review.</p>		
Notes:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lori noted that adding funding strategies to 5 year plan is a useful addition since the EPA is not the only source. Stan said that the vision should reference types of projects (suggest: protection and restoration of nearshore geologic processes). Todd indicated a need for a document that identifies gaps. Lori added that in addition to gaps we need to identify all of the resources available. Todd expressed a need for a more comprehensive picture of what is happening around our watershed, similar to what the NWIFC produces. There is a lot of data out there between the SMP and CAO, but it is not put together in a way that allows us to connect our efforts to the bigger picture. Jim noted that there are two kinds of gaps: current knowledge gaps and gap between current and future goals. John added that monitoring is important; we need to build a better framework for assessing the overall ecosystem to see if our efforts are really working. Dawn agreed that we all need a monitoring plan, but must be wary of the scope creep that occurs when trying to assess the bigger picture. John expressed support for Todd's idea of a bigger picture document. Lori pointed out that Miradi and Smart Sheet are the place for tracking. These tools can be more efficient than larger synthesis documents for tracking progress on NTAs. Lori shared that the vision is really an effort to coordinate our objectives. Every partner has their own prioritization, yet the ILIO partners have shared recovery goals. Stan suggested that the three strategic initiatives coordinate our objectives. 		
Topic:	Ecosystem Components & Vital Signs – SITTs review comments	Action Item Owner:	Lori Clark
Decisions Made and Action Item(s):	None		
Follow-up Items:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Todd offered to come help with small stream data Rob has research links for Land Development and Cover (mostly LID residential) Discuss stormwater hydrology with Doug Kelly 		
Notes:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stormwater gaps were identified. Businesses need assistance to address stormwater. Rob said that new businesses have an engineered drainage plan and that the City of Oak Harbor will have LID in the new Comp Plan. Jill added that there is some LID in the existing IC code; John said that LID is barely in the code. Todd asked if there any way to have SITTs teams talk with each LIO to gain a better understanding of some of the comments. Suzanna responded that there is a lack of funding for SITTs to meet with LIOs Can we have more vital signs? LIOs are not limited for 5 year plan, however for the NTAs, we want to keep our focus narrow. John suggested that saltmarshes need their own vital sign. Rob asked what would our metric be for Land Development & Cover; he also added that he has research links for Land Development and Cover) that we could use to develop a meaningful metric. Drinking water quantity versus quality was discussed. 		
Topic:	Human Quality of Life (QOL) Vital Signs (VS)	Action Item Owner:	Brian Wood
Decisions Made and Action Item(s):	Continue to explore how HWB VSs will be integrated into ILIO ERP.		

Follow-up Items:	Create survey to consider adding HWB vital signs
Notes:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• HWB vital signs were presented with a potential context for how they could be used (highlighting additional human centered gains that our ecosystem projects deliver, providing an adaptive management avenue for if ecosystem goals of a project are not met, but HWB targets can demonstrate some level of success.)• General agreement expressed that the Local Foods VS is important for our LIO since it addresses recreational shellfish beds. Jill expressed support for the Drinking Water VS, and Jim expressed support for Good Governance VS