

ILIO TC Meeting Notes

Meeting Information

Meeting Date:	September 18, 2019		
Meeting Time:	11:30am-1:00pm		
Meeting Location:	Coupeville Library Room L&J 131 Coupeville, WA 98239		
Meeting Attendees	Lori Clark (ILIO Coordinator)	Barbara Bennett (MRC)	
	Paul Ben McEllwan (SWS)	Gwendolyn Hannam (WICD)	
	John Lovie (WRAC, SRTCC)	Jill Wood (ICPH)	
	David Trimbach (OSU)	Todd Zackey (Tulalip Tribe)	
	Bryan Tyhuis (US Navy)	Keith Higman (ICPH)	
	Laura Ferguson (PSP)		
Meeting Objectives:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Updates 2. DASEES workshop 		
	Total Time:	90 minutes	

Minutes

Topic:	Updates	Action Item Owner:	Lori
Decisions Made and Action Item(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • none 		
Follow-up Items:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discuss Salish Sea Ecosystem Conference panel suggestion (citizen science/volunteer perspective) 		
Notes:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Town of Coupeville is working on contracting for NTA# 2018-0234, Coupeville Outfall Study. • The MRC's Island County MRC SLR forum (8/28) on Whidbey is full (65 RSVPs and the one on Camano has approximately 20). Opportunity for residents to look at the NOAA SLR maps and observe projections. • Lori, Hayley and John met with Laura Blackmore on September 10th to sort out next steps for LIO Engagement in the Development and Implementation of the Puget Sound Action Agenda (a.k.a., LIO Proposal). Partnership ECB Meeting Outcomes and Next Steps <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ October 1-2 Leadership Council Meeting, Partnership staff to share talking points with and seek comment from LIO ▪ LIO Coordinators may also provide 3-minute public comments (as a heads up). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 5 LIO Coordinators Quarterly Meeting - Partnership staff to draft holistic actions for discussion to address (advance) 3 LIO Objectives 1. Elevate the stature of LIOs, 2. Provide LIOs with more capacity to meaningfully participate in the Action Agenda process, and 3. Revise the funding model. ○ November 13 Ecosystem Coordination Board Meeting – LIO Proposal agenda item designed to seek ECB support ○ November 20(?) LIO-EPA-PSP Leadership Meeting – Solicit feedback on the current NEP funding model and LIO engagement 		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ December 3 Leadership Council Meeting – LIO Proposal agenda item designed to seek LC support • Lori contacted WDFW about an opportunistic project at Livingston Bay. We met with the homeowners and a farmer last week and they are poised and ready to go! Livingston Bay Project was one of the ILIO first round of NTAs in 2016 and is currently a part of the regional NTA 2018-0603, Local Coordination to advance PSNERP identified projects. It may be possible to apply partial funding to 2018-0603 for a feasibility study. If they apply for SRFB funds it would be 2021 before they got the money to start the feasibility study. If the project could be funded with NEP dollars through the 2018-0603 NTA then the funding could be in hand next year and the project could also get started then. • The ILIO TC discussed directing the local NEP allocation funding to NTA 2018-0603. This is a high priority project. The Habitat SIAT could match the local contribution with regional NEP funding to fully fund a feasibility with design. • Laura shared that October 8th is the PS Day on the Sound to meet with leadership on PS issues. • 2018 NTAs need financial information updated ASAP. • After Action Review email was distributed. Please participate, good or bad, all is valuable. Thursday Sept 26th. • Salish Sea Ecosystem Conference is next spring, April 19-22. Barbara Bennett suggested adding a resident to the shoreline armoring panel. Sally King, Greenbank resident, could join the panel. • MRC is focusing on outreach. NWSF is messaging and cultivating audiences. 		
Topic:	SIAT updates	Action Item Owner:	Lori / John
Decisions Made and Action Item(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • none 		
Follow-up Items:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • none 		
Notes:	Stormwater SIAT: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lori was selected to be on the Stormwater SIAT. She attended the first meeting last Friday (9/13). The group is prepping for a workshop with the Habitat SIAT on Land Use Workshop (tentatively November 6th). • The SW SIAT reviewed Results Chains (watershed planning and incentivizing redevelopment in high-loading areas, a TIF issue), Problem Statements/Priorities (developed via the IDT, winter meetings with the SIAT), an Action Table (a list of potential solution oriented actions the SIL has been generating with input from you), and a Draft Solution Development Worksheet (which will be used to guide break out discussions on November 6th). • Derek Day provided a synthesis of the LIO Ecosystem Recovery Plans to crosswalk with the LIO priority strategies, BIBI Interim Outcome Problem Statements. The information they pulled from Island is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Protect Intact Ecosystems Current land use planning does not set protection and restoration goals that are consistent with the needs of the ecosystem, and jurisdiction boundaries obfuscate watershed scale needs. Jurisdictions do not develop growth management strategies, or stormwater avoidance strategies as requirements for planning and development. 2. Involve communities in future planning Current land use planning does not set protection and restoration goals that are consistent with the needs of the ecosystem, and jurisdiction boundaries obfuscate watershed scale needs. Jurisdictions do not develop growth management strategies, or stormwater avoidance strategies as requirements for planning and development. • Toxics in Fish workshop and un-permitted jurisdictions (more rural) will occur in 2020. Habitat SIAT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Habitat SIAT has new leadership. DNR & WDFW have new staff leading the Habitat SIAT. • The Habitat SIAT integrating LIOs and the local perspective into its value statement. • John spoke to the Habitat SIAT about Livingston Bay restoration project. • Opportunistic projects need a way to be evaluated. Allowing the LIOs to elevate NTAs that need 		

	<p>attention.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Push back/ lack of willingness to open up LIO engagement early on after the SIAT has a short list is released. There is an assumption that LIOs are too busy, and they don't have engagement. • Coordinate LIO engagement among the SIATs to have consistency. • PSP and SILs are discussing local engagement. • LIOs need to have timeline to be able to engage meaningfully with their boards. • Consistent process and transparency are critical. • David is working with Libby (Habitat SIL) on a project similar to BIBI that will observe the connection between regional and local engagement. Using GMA, how are critical areas defined. What is the data out there around CA. David designed a survey to ask these questions. The ecosystem services attributed to the critical areas. How are they reflected in the plans? • PSEMP, Spatial Ecology working group, LIOs, and will be free to share. 		
Topic:	DASEES workshop	Action Item Owner:	Lori
Decisions Made and Action Item(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct a DASEES workshop with a more broad stakeholder group to get better insight on the importance/prioritization of the components and verify the linkages between the actions and components. 		
Follow-up Items:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Schedule a workshop to set up a DASEES workshop to collect and quantify group input and get consensus on the links and prioritizations in DASEES. • Schedule a working group meeting to refine the links between actions and components. 		
Notes:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lori and David spent a day to input the specific NTA information into DASEES. • Workshops coming winter 2020 to demonstrate DASEES with the local and regional decision processes. • DASEES and Miradi software/tools are trying to work together to reduce redundancy but these are different. Miradi is showing logic models, theory of change. Miradi is broad scale. DASEES is good for single decisions (funding, priority, etc). These use different languages so there is a translation required. • We defined objectives as the priority recovery components. The metrics are the units of measure associated with the components. You can prioritize components to weigh the process. Biophysical verses human wellbeing components can be prioritized. • Management actions are tangible tasks associated with the NTAs. With subjectivity, you can relate the action with the recovery component. We need to link or de-link the recovery components to reduce the bias. • Scenarios are potential decisions. We created scenarios and metrics for the NTAs that are awaiting funding. • John Lovie suggested that consequences table could be improved by adding 1. how much the actions influence (weighing) affect outputs and 2. weighing outputs to recovery targets. • David and Lori may have over-linked the components. • Assumption for the consequence table is that each action reached its max potential. • Equal weight to the outcome. Assumptions need to be verified. • Time- specific ranking could be added. • Consequence tables can be refined based on what you want to do with the information. EPA would have its own set of priorities. Can use to analyze sensitivity. Pair down the linking. 		

Upcoming ILIO meetings:
TBD

Executive Committee:
November 27, 2019 10am BICC Hearing Room