

## ILIO TC Meeting Notes

### Meeting Information

Meeting Date:	January 7, 2020		
Meeting Time:	9am-12pm		
Meeting Location:	Coupeville Library 788 NW Alexander Street Coupeville, WA 98239		
Meeting Attendees	Lori Clark (ILIO Coordinator)	Barbara Bennett (MRC)	
	Paul Ben McEllwan (SWS)	Gwendolyn Hannam (WICD)	
	John Lovie (WRAC, SRTCC)	Dawn Spilsbury Pucci (SRTCC)	
	David Trimbach (OSU)	Dennis Lefevre (COH)	
	Bryan Tyhuis (US Navy)	Keith Higman (ICPH)	
	Laura Rivas (PSP)	Randy Berthold (MRC)	
Meeting Objectives:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Updates</li> <li>2. DASEES workshop</li> </ol>		
	Total Time:	180 minutes	

### Minutes

Topic:	Updates	Action Item Owner:	Lori
Decisions Made and Action Item(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• none</li> </ul>		
Follow-up Items:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>		
Notes:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The SILs released the FY2019 NTA Funding Recommendations on December __</li> <li>• Commissioner Price Johnson, Jill Wood, John Lovie and Lori Clark attended the EPA/LIO meeting on December 12<sup>th</sup> to discuss LIO's comments on the 2018-2022 Funding Model. The EPA is only interested in comments that include modifications within the framework of the current funding model. Lori drafted a summary of the comments and many Island LIO members reviewed and contributed to the ILIO comment letter. The comments were due December 31<sup>st</sup> thus there was not time to convene an ILIO TC or EC meeting to get a vote thus Lori submitted comments on behalf of the ILIO as the ILIO Coordinator.</li> <li>• The PSP All Boards meeting is today, January 7<sup>th</sup>, 2020. The FY2019 Funding Recommendations will be reviewed and considered for approval.</li> <li>• The EPA invited LIO Coordinators to add comments to the 2016 RFP for Strategic Implementation Leads to inform improvements based on LIO comments to the 2022-2026 NEP Funding Model.</li> <li>• Welcome Randy Berthold from the MRC.</li> <li>• Puget Sound Info was awarded additional funding in the governor's proposed budget to expand the PS Info website</li> <li>• Island County Department of Natural Resources hosted a restoration tour on December 16<sup>th</sup> to highlight the partnerships and phases of restoration.</li> <li>• Additional 5 million in the NEP budget for Puget Sound. EPA has not decided how this will be distributed.</li> <li>• Congressman Denny Heck has announced his retirement.</li> </ul>		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State of the Sound was released early December. It is an interactive website.</li> </ul>		
<b>Topic:</b>	<b>DASEES workshop</b>	<b>Action Item Owner:</b>	David / Lori
<b>Decisions Made and Action Item(s):</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Conduct a DASEES workshop with a more broad stakeholder group to get better insight on the importance/prioritization of the components and verify the linkages between the actions and components.</li> </ul>		
<b>Follow-up Items:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Send ILIO TC information on the DASEES workshop dates.</li> <li>Send ILIO the HHWB work that Brian Wood completed.</li> <li>Goal for next LIO TC meeting: 1. Revise the weights for each output, and 2. complete consequence table that highlights NTAs that are in ILIO recovery plan.</li> </ul>		
<b>Notes:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Presentation available on website:</b> <a href="https://www.islandcountywa.gov/Health/DNR/ILIO/Pages/ILIO-Agendas--Minutes.aspx">https://www.islandcountywa.gov/Health/DNR/ILIO/Pages/ILIO-Agendas--Minutes.aspx</a></li> <li>Integrate ILIO Partner input into DASEES</li> <li>NTA information was added into DASEES by Lori, John and David last Fall.</li> <li>DASEES Workshops coming winter 2020 to demonstrate DASEES with the local and regional decision processes.</li> <li>Structured Decision-Making (SDM)/ Decision Analysis for a Sustainable Environment, Economy and Society (DASEES) workshop on February 21<sup>st</sup> for LIO members/partners. The DASEES developers will be at this workshop. The workshop will be for the LIOs that are participating in the DASEES pilot project. March (?) will be with state agencies and all LIOs. Info sharing for participants and the developers. Hands on learning experience.</li> <li>DASEES and Miradi software/tools are trying to work together to reduce redundancy but these are different. Miradi is showing logic models, theory of change. Miradi is broad scale. DASEES is good for single decisions (funding, priority, etc). These use different languages so there is a translation required.</li> <li>We defined objectives as the priority recovery components. The metrics are the units of measure associated with the components. You can prioritize components to weigh the process. Biophysical verses human wellbeing components can be prioritized.</li> <li>Management actions are tangible tasks associated with the NTAs. With subjectivity, you can relate the action with the recovery component. We need to link or de-link the recovery components to reduce the bias.</li> <li>Island LIO is at the forefront of users for the DASEES.</li> <li>Scenarios are potential decisions. We created scenarios and metrics for the NTAs that are awaiting funding.</li> <li>At our last meeting, the ILIO determined that the consequences table could be improved by adding <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. how much the actions influence (weighing) affect outputs and</li> <li>2. weighing outputs to recovery targets and</li> <li>3. Check/revise the objects linked to the components. Pairing down the linkages would help the consequence table.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The previous assumption was that each action reached its max potential and there was equal weight given to the outcome. Assumptions need to be verified.</li> <li>Integrate ILIO Partner input into DASEES with an emphasis on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.HWB Components: measures, needs, gaps, next steps, adaptive management. What are the HWB Components as illustrated in the recovery components and are these good or working as is? What else needs to be done to move these forward?</li> <li>2.Recovery Component (Objective) –NTA (Management Options) Linkages. What are the links between the recovery components and NTAs?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		

Are the objectives listed by owners adequate or accurate?  
 How might this be altered in the future?  
 How are NTAs and their actions linked to Objectives and/or their measure  
 Are these adequate?  
 Do these accurately reflect the NTA and NTA actions?  
 3.NTAs (Management Options): are the recovery components measures accurately documented.  
 How are NTAs measured?  
 What are the units? What is the optimum impact by NTA?  
 4.Objective value weights  
 Are specific objectives more important at this moment than others?  
 Why/not? Should specific objectives be weighed more than others? Why/not?  
 5.Scenarios  
 Are there specific scenarios that are applicable to your LIO and decision? –E.g., Top NTAs, specific categories of NTAs, etc.?

- Colors are not connected to vital signs colors.
- All objective measures are our ILIO approved ecosystem recovery components.
- John pointed out that “objective” indicates a vector or a direction you want to go. Nouns are listed, need verbs. Nouns are currently stacked under nouns. Identifying a measure presumes you know what you want to do with the objective.
- Hierarchy is meant to be an objective type.
- Stilly-Sno LIO developed a consequence chart to inform a decision based on bundling the NTAs.
- Need more consistency on how actions are measured on the ground.
- Dawn suggested sound stewardship as an HWB indicator
- .

Upcoming ILIO meetings:  
 TBD