

Policy Board Meeting May 25, 2016 Materials

Pg 2 Designation Packet Discussion Items

Pgs 3 Federal Funding Summary

Pg 4-5 Mukilteo Parking Study Handouts

Designation Packet Discussion Items

Defining local government:

Previous ITPO Policy Board discussion considered whether Island Transit could be identified as an “RTPO member local government” agency. The following items are provided to help clarify what is meant by local government in Washington State:

- Washington State defines Local Government under 43.52 RCW:
 - (2) "Local government" means any governmental entity other than the state, federal agencies, or an operating system established under chapter **43.52** RCW. It includes, but is not limited to cities, counties, school districts, and **special purpose districts**.
- Washington State defines **special purpose districts** as including, but not limited to:
 - Public Transportation Benefit Area 1975 Ch. 36.57A RCW
 - Port Districts 1911 Title 53 RCW

ITPO versus RTPO establishment language (ILA):

The language describing our current organization, under the Interlocal Cooperation Act is more general to interagency cooperation. The Regional Transportation Planning Organization language defines the regional transportation focus and requirements for interagency efforts.

- ITPO RCW 39.34.010 Declaration of purpose summary:
 - Enabling governments to cooperate with local communities for mutual benefit.
- RTPO RCW 47.80.020 Legislative Intent summary:
 - Integrate transportation and comprehensive planning
 - Integrate with state and local transportation programs
 - Manage demand on the transportation system.
 - Manage the efficiency with which the transportation system operates.
 - Coordinate funding with other agencies
 - Address community transportation needs
 - Coordinate transportation and land use strategies
 - Promote all transportation modes (bike, walk, transit, automobile, etc.)

Membership and Voting:

- WSDOT is a member of RTPOs by statute (RCW 47.80.040) and is generally a voting member on RTPO Policy Boards.
- As defined “local government” could include a variety of municipal corporations.
- RCW 47.80.040 “the regional transportation planning organization shall allow representatives of **major employers** within the region, the department of transportation, transit districts, port districts, and member cities, towns, and counties within the region to participate in policy making”
 - RTPO examples examined frequently had employers as participants but did not identify them as voting members.

Federal Funding (ITPO STP / TAP Workplan as of May 16, 2016)				
	2016	2017	2018	2019
STP Projects				
Crescent Harbor / Regatta Intersection (RW)	\$150,000			
Freeland Trail--Segment 1	\$472,100			
Island Transit Interoperability (radio repeaters)	\$259,500			
RTPO Unified Work Program		\$532,000		
Swantown / Heller Intersection Improvements CN		\$519,000		
Island County NonMotorized Plan Update	\$175,500			
Crescent Harbor / Regatta Intersection CN			\$1,200,000	
Crescent Harbor Resurfacing I	\$460,900			
Crescent Harbor Resurfacing II	\$288,100			
NW Heller Street Overlay	\$29,410	\$269,015		
Langley Cascade Ave Rest. (6th to Wharf)	\$268,150			
Island Transit Replacement Vehicles	\$740,656			
Total Planned Obligation	\$2,844,316	\$1,320,015	\$1,200,000	
Amount Obligated to date	\$1,175,656			
(\$2.57M total available for 2016)	Obligation			
	Target	\$2,400,000	\$1,040,000	\$1,040,000
	<i>difference</i>	\$444,316		
TAP*				
Whidbey Ave. Ped Crossing CN		\$201,500		
Waterfront Trail Repair CN	\$120,000			
Freeland Trail--Segment 1	\$161,848			
South Whidbey Bike Route CN		\$80,000		
Camano Island Bike Loop PE + CN				\$125,000
Total Planned Obligation	\$281,848	\$281,500		\$125,000
Amount Obligated to date	\$0			
	Obligation Target	\$265,000	\$93,000	\$93,000
	<i>difference</i>	\$16,848		

* Planned obligations for TAP funds account for rural/urban split

Orange boxes are projected obligation targets



Tulalip

1

8a

8b

5

2

3

4

9

6
&
7

Old Town Parking Options

-  Old Town Sidewalk Needs
 -  Streams
 -  City parcels (July 2014)
 -  City Limits
- ## Potential Old Town Parking
-  City
 -  Partnership
 -  Private

Map Source: Snohomish County, 2012

The City of Mukilteo disclaims any warranty of merchantability or warranty of fitness of this map for any particular purpose, either expressed or implied, and shall not be liable for any damages, including consequential, special, or punitive damages, arising from any use of this map. Any user of this map assumes all responsibility for the use thereof, and further agrees to hold the City of Mukilteo harmless from and against any damages, claims, or liability arising from any use of this map.

Scale = 1:2,600
 Sept. 4, 2014

